



On the Fučík spectrum for the p -Laplacian with Robin boundary condition

Dumitru Motreanu^a, Patrick Winkert^{b,*}

^a Département de Mathématiques, Université de Perpignan, Avenue Paul Alduy 52, 66860 Perpignan Cedex, France

^b Technische Universität Berlin, Institut für Mathematik, Straße des 17. Juni 136, 10623 Berlin, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 6 December 2010

Accepted 12 April 2011

Communicated by S. Carl

MSC:

35J92

35J20

47J10

Keywords:

p -Laplacian

Robin boundary conditions

Fučík spectrum

ABSTRACT

The aim of this paper is to study the Fučík spectrum of the p -Laplacian with Robin boundary condition given by

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u &= a(u^+)^{p-1} - b(u^-)^{p-1} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} &= -\beta |u|^{p-2} u \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta \geq 0$. If $\beta = 0$, it reduces to the Fučík spectrum of the negative Neumann p -Laplacian. The existence of a first nontrivial curve \mathcal{C} of this spectrum is shown and we prove some properties of this curve, e.g., \mathcal{C} is Lipschitz continuous, decreasing and has a certain asymptotic behavior. A variational characterization of the second eigenvalue λ_2 of the Robin eigenvalue problem involving the p -Laplacian is also obtained.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The Fučík spectrum of the negative p -Laplacian with a Robin boundary condition is defined as the set $\widehat{\Sigma}_p$ of $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u &= a(u^+)^{p-1} - b(u^-)^{p-1} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} &= -\beta |u|^{p-2} u \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

has a nontrivial solution. Here the domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is supposed to be bounded with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. The notation $-\Delta_p u$ stands for the negative p -Laplacian of u , i.e., $-\Delta_p u = -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$, with $1 < p < +\infty$, while $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}$ denotes the outer normal derivative of u and β is a parameter belonging to $[0, +\infty)$. We also denote $u^\pm = \max\{\pm u, 0\}$. For $\beta = 0$, (1.1) becomes the Fučík spectrum of the negative Neumann p -Laplacian. Let us recall that $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a (weak) solution of (1.1) if

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^{p-2} u v \, d\sigma = \int_{\Omega} (a(u^+)^{p-1} - b(u^-)^{p-1}) v \, dx, \quad \forall v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega). \tag{1.2}$$

If $a = b = \lambda$, problem (1.1) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u &= \lambda |u|^{p-2} u \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} &= -\beta |u|^{p-2} u \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: motreanu@univ-perp.fr (D. Motreanu), winkert@math.tu-berlin.de, patrick@winkert.de, patrick@math.winkert.de (P. Winkert).

which is known as the Robin eigenvalue problem for the p -Laplacian. As proved in [1], the first eigenvalue λ_1 of problem (1.3) is simple, isolated and can be characterized as follows

$$\lambda_1 = \inf_{u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^p d\sigma : \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx = 1 \right\}.$$

The author also proves that the eigenfunctions corresponding to λ_1 are of constant sign and belong to $C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ for some $0 < \alpha < 1$. Throughout this paper, φ_1 denotes the eigenfunction of (1.3) associated to λ_1 which is normalized as $\|\varphi_1\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = 1$ and satisfies $\varphi_1 > 0$. Let us also recall that every eigenfunction of (1.3) corresponding to an eigenvalue $\lambda > \lambda_1$ must change sign.

We briefly describe the context of the Fučík spectrum related to problem (1.1). The Fučík spectrum was introduced by Fučík [2] in the case of the negative Laplacian in one dimension with periodic boundary conditions. He proved that this spectrum is composed of two families of curves emanating from the points (λ_k, λ_k) determined by the eigenvalues λ_k of the problem. Afterwards, many authors studied the Fučík spectrum Σ_2 for the negative Laplacian with Dirichlet boundary conditions (see [3–11] and the references therein). In this respect, we mention that Dancer [12] proved that the lines $\mathbb{R} \times \{\lambda_1\}$ and $\{\lambda_1\} \times \mathbb{R}$ are isolated in Σ_2 . De Figueiredo–Gossez [13] constructed a first nontrivial curve in Σ_2 through (λ_2, λ_2) and characterized it variationally. For $p \neq 2$ and in one dimension, Drábek [14] has shown that Σ_p has similar properties as in the linear case, i.e., $p = 2$. The Fučík spectrum Σ_p of the negative p -Laplacian with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions in the general case $1 < p < +\infty$ and $N \geq 1$, that is

$$(a, b) \in \Sigma_p : \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = a(u^+)^{p-1} - b(u^-)^{p-1} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

has been studied by Cuesta et al. [15], where the authors proved the existence of a first nontrivial curve through (λ_2, λ_2) and that the lines $\mathbb{R} \times \{\lambda_1\}$ and $\{\lambda_1\} \times \mathbb{R}$ are isolated in Σ_p . For other results on Σ_p we refer to [16–20].

The Fučík spectrum Θ_p of the negative p -Laplacian with homogeneous Neumann boundary condition, which is defined by

$$(a, b) \in \Theta_p : \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = a(u^+)^{p-1} - b(u^-)^{p-1} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

was investigated in [21–23]. It is worth emphasizing that Arias et al. [22] pointed out an important difference between the cases $p \leq N$ and $p > N$ regarding the asymptotic properties of the first nontrivial curve in Θ_p . Note that the Fučík spectrum Θ_p is incorporated in problem (1.1) by taking $\beta = 0$. Finally, we mention the work of Martínez and Rossi [24] who considered the Fučík spectrum $\tilde{\Sigma}_p$ associated to Steklov boundary condition, which is introduced by

$$(a, b) \in \tilde{\Sigma}_p : \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = -|u|^{p-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = a(u^+)^{p-1} - b(u^-)^{p-1} & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

As in the previous situations, they constructed a first nontrivial curve in $\tilde{\Sigma}_p$ through (λ_2, λ_2) , where λ_2 denotes the second eigenvalue of the Steklov eigenvalue problem, and studied its asymptotic behavior.

The aim of this paper is the study of the Fučík spectrum $\hat{\Sigma}_p$ given in (1.1) for the negative p -Laplacian with Robin boundary condition. We are going to prove the existence of a first nontrivial curve \mathcal{C} of this spectrum and show that it shares the same properties as in the cases of the other problems discussed above: Lipschitz continuity, strictly decreasing monotonicity and asymptotic behavior. It is a significant fact that the presence of the parameter β in problem (1.1) does not alter these basic properties. The main idea in studying the asymptotic behavior of the curve \mathcal{C} is the use of a suitable equivalent norm related to β . A relevant consequence of the construction of the first nontrivial curve \mathcal{C} in $\hat{\Sigma}_p$ is the following variational characterization of the second eigenvalue λ_2 of (1.3):

$$\lambda_2 = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{u \in \gamma[-1,1]} \left[\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^p d\sigma \right], \tag{1.4}$$

where

$$\Gamma = \{ \gamma \in C([-1, 1], S) : \gamma(-1) = -\varphi_1, \gamma(1) = \varphi_1 \},$$

with

$$S = \left\{ u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx = 1 \right\}. \tag{1.5}$$

The results presented in this paper complete the picture of the Fučík spectrum involving the p -Laplacian by adding in the case of Robin condition the information previously known for Dirichlet problem (see [15]), Steklov problem (see [24]),

and homogeneous Neumann problem (see [22]). Actually, as already specified, the results given here for the Fučík spectrum (1.1) of the negative p -Laplacian with Robin boundary condition extend the ones known for the Fučík spectrum Θ_p under Neumann boundary condition by simply making $\beta = 0$.

Our approach is variational relying on the functional associated to problem (1.1), which is expressed on $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ by

$$J(u) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^p d\sigma - \int_{\Omega} (a(u^+)^p + b(u^-)^p) dx.$$

It is clear that $J \in C^1(W^{1,p}(\Omega), \mathbb{R})$ and the critical points of J coincide with the weak solutions of problem (1.1). In comparison with the corresponding functionals related to the Fučík spectrum for the Dirichlet and Steklov problems, the functional J exhibits an essential difference because its expression does not contain the norm of the space $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, and it is also different from the functional used to treat the Neumann problem because it contains the additional boundary term involving β . However, in our proofs various ideas and techniques are worked out on the pattern of [22,15,24].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to the determination of elements of $\widehat{\Sigma}_p$ by means of critical points of a suitable functional. Section 3 sets forth the construction of the first nontrivial curve \mathcal{C} in $\widehat{\Sigma}_p$ and the variational characterization of the second eigenvalue λ_2 for (1.3). Section 4 presents the basic properties of \mathcal{C} .

2. The spectrum $\widehat{\Sigma}_p$ through critical points

The aim of this section is to determine elements of the Fučík spectrum $\widehat{\Sigma}_p$ defined in problem (1.1). They are found by critical points of a functional that is constructed by means of the Robin problem (1.1). To this end we follow certain ideas in [15,24] developed for problems with Dirichlet and Steklov boundary conditions.

For a fixed $s \in \mathbb{R}, s \geq 0$, and corresponding to $\beta \geq 0$ given in problem (1.1), we introduce the functional $J_s : W^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$J_s(u) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^p d\sigma - s \int_{\Omega} (u^+)^p dx,$$

thus $J_s \in C^1(W^{1,p}(\Omega), \mathbb{R})$. The set S introduced in (1.5) is a smooth submanifold of $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, and thus $\widetilde{J}_s = J_s|_S$ is a C^1 function in the sense of manifolds. We note that $u \in S$ is a critical point of \widetilde{J}_s (in the sense of manifolds) if and only if there exists $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^{p-2} u v d\sigma - s \int_{\Omega} (u^+)^{p-1} v dx = t \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p-2} u v dx, \quad \forall v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega). \tag{2.1}$$

Now we describe the relationship between the critical points of \widetilde{J}_s and the spectrum $\widehat{\Sigma}_p$.

Lemma 2.1. *Given a number $s \geq 0$, one has that $(s + t, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ belongs to the spectrum $\widehat{\Sigma}_p$ if and only if there exists a critical point $u \in S$ of \widetilde{J}_s such that $t = J_s(u)$.*

Proof. The definition in (1.2) for the weak solution shows that $(t + s, t) \in \widehat{\Sigma}_p$ if and only if there is $u \in S$ that solves the Robin problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u &= (t + s)(u^+)^{p-1} - t(u^-)^{p-1} && \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} &= -\beta |u|^{p-2} u && \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

which means exactly (2.1). Inserting $v = u$ in (2.1) yields $t = J_s(u)$, as required. \square

Lemma 2.1 enables us to find points in $\widehat{\Sigma}_p$ through the critical points of \widetilde{J}_s . In order to implement this, first we look for minimizers of \widetilde{J}_s .

Proposition 2.2. *There hold:*

- (i) *the first eigenfunction φ_1 is a global minimizer of \widetilde{J}_s ;*
- (ii) *the point $(\lambda_1, \lambda_1 - s) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ belongs to $\widehat{\Sigma}_p$.*

Proof. (i) Since $\beta, s \geq 0$, using the characterization of λ_1 we have

$$\widetilde{J}_s(u) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^p d\sigma - s \int_{\Omega} (u^+)^p dx \geq \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx - s \int_{\Omega} (u^+)^p dx \geq \lambda_1 - s = J_s(\varphi_1), \quad \forall u \in S.$$

(ii) On the basis of (i), we can apply Lemma 2.1. \square

Next we produce a second critical point of \widetilde{J}_s as a local minimizer.

Proposition 2.3. *There hold:*

- (i) *the negative eigenfunction $-\varphi_1$ is a strict local minimizer of \widetilde{J}_s ;*
- (ii) *the point $(\lambda_1 + s, \lambda_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ belongs to $\widehat{\Sigma}_p$.*

Proof. (i) Arguing indirectly, let us suppose that there exists a sequence $(u_n) \subset S$ with $u_n \neq -\varphi_1$, $u_n \rightarrow -\varphi_1$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $J_s(u_n) \leq \lambda_1 = J_s(-\varphi_1)$. If $u_n \leq 0$ for a.a. $x \in \Omega$, we obtain

$$\tilde{J}_s(u_n) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^p dx + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_n|^p d\sigma > \lambda_1,$$

because $u_n \neq -\varphi_1$ and $u_n \neq \varphi_1$, which contradicts the assumption $\tilde{J}_s(u_n) \leq \lambda_1$.

Consider now the complementary situation. Hence u_n changes sign whenever n is sufficiently large, thereby we can set

$$w_n = \frac{u_n^+}{\|u_n^+\|_{L^p(\Omega)}} \quad \text{and} \quad r_n = \|\nabla w_n\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p + \beta \|w_n\|_{L^p(\partial\Omega)}^p. \tag{2.2}$$

We claim that, along a relabeled subsequence, $r_n \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose by contradiction that (r_n) is bounded. This implies through (2.2) that (w_n) is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, so there exists a subsequence denoted again by (w_n) such that $w_n \rightarrow w$ in $L^p(\Omega)$, for some $w \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Since $\|w_n\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = 1$ and $w_n \geq 0$ a.e. in Ω , we get $\|w\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = 1$ and $w \geq 0$, hence the measure of the set $\{x \in \Omega : u_n(x) > 0\}$ does not approach 0 when $n \rightarrow \infty$. This contradicts the assumption that $u_n \rightarrow -\varphi_1$ in $L^p(\Omega)$, thus proving the claim.

On the other hand, from (2.2) and by using the variational characterization of λ_1 , we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J}_s(u_n) &= (r_n - s) \int_{\Omega} |u_n^+|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n^-|^p dx + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_n^-|^p d\sigma \\ &\geq (r_n - s) \int_{\Omega} |u_n^+|^p dx + \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} (u_n^-)^p dx, \end{aligned}$$

whereas the choice of (u_n) gives

$$\tilde{J}_s(u_n) \leq \lambda_1 = \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} (u_n^+)^p dx + \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} (u_n^-)^p dx.$$

Combining the inequalities above results in

$$(\lambda_1 - r_n + s) \int_{\Omega} (u_n^+)^p dx \geq 0,$$

therefore $\lambda_1 \geq r_n - s$. This is against the unboundedness of (r_n) , which completes the proof of (i). Part (ii) follows from Lemma 2.1 because $J_s(-\varphi_1) = \lambda_1$. \square

Using the two local minima obtained in Propositions 2.2 and 2.3, we seek for a third critical point of \tilde{J}_s via a version of the Mountain-Pass theorem on C^1 -manifolds.

We define a norm of the derivative of the restriction \tilde{J}_s of J_s to S at the point $u \in S$ by

$$\|\tilde{J}'_s(u)\|_* = \min\{\|J'_s(u) - tT'(u)\|_{(W^{1,p}(\Omega))^*} : t \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

where $T(\cdot) = \|\cdot\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p$. Let us recall the definition of the Palais–Smale condition.

Definition 2.4. The functional $J_s : W^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to satisfy the Palais–Smale condition on S if for any sequence $(u_n) \subset S$ such that $(J_s(u_n))$ is bounded and $\|\tilde{J}'_s(u_n)\|_* \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there exists a strongly convergent subsequence in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Note that the negative p -Laplacian $-\Delta_p : W^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow (W^{1,p}(\Omega))^*$ fulfills the $(S)_+$ -property, that means, if

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \quad \text{in } W^{1,p}(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla (u_n - u) \leq 0,$$

then it holds $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ (cf. [25,26]). Taking into account this property, we first check the Palais–Smale condition for J_s on the submanifold S of $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 2.5. *The functional $\tilde{J}_s : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the Palais–Smale condition on S in the sense of manifolds.*

Proof. Let $(u_n) \subset S$ be a sequence provided $(J_s(u_n))$ is bounded and $\|\tilde{J}'_s(u_n)\|_* \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which means that there exists a sequence $(t_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla v dx + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_n|^{p-2} u_n v d\sigma - s \int_{\Omega} (u_n^+)^{p-1} v dx - t_n \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{p-2} u_n v dx \right| \leq \varepsilon_n \|v\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}, \tag{2.3}$$

for all $v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and with $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$. Note that $J_s(u_n) \geq \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p - s$. Since $(u_n) \in S$ and $(J_s(u_n))$ is bounded, we derive that (u_n) is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Thus, along a relabeled subsequence we may suppose that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $u_n \rightarrow u$

in $L^p(\Omega)$ and $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^p(\partial\Omega)$. Taking $v = u_n$ in (2.3) and using again $(u_n) \subset S$ show that the sequence (t_n) is bounded. Then, if we choose $v = u_n - u$, it follows that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla (u_n - u) dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

At this point, the $(S)_+$ -property of $-\Delta_p$ on $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ enables us to conclude that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. \square

The proof of the following version of the Mountain-Pass theorem can be found in [27, Theorem 3.2]

Theorem 2.6. *Let E be a Banach space and let $g, f \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$. Further, suppose that 0 is a regular value of g and let $M = \{u \in E : g(u) = 0\}$, $u_0, u_1 \in M$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\|u_1 - u_0\|_E > \varepsilon$ and*

$$\inf\{f(u) : u \in M \text{ and } \|u - u_0\|_E = \varepsilon\} > \max\{f(u_0), f(u_1)\}.$$

Assume that f satisfies the Palais–Smale condition on M and that

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([-1, 1], M) : \gamma(-1) = u_0 \text{ and } \gamma(1) = u_1\}$$

is nonempty. Then

$$c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{u \in \gamma[-1, 1]} f(u)$$

is a critical value of $f|_M$.

Now we obtain, in addition to φ_1 and $-\varphi_1$, a third critical point of \tilde{J}_s on S .

Proposition 2.7. *There hold that, for each $s \geq 0$:*

(i)

$$c(s) := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{u \in \gamma[-1, 1]} J_s(u), \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([-1, 1], S) : \gamma(-1) = -\varphi_1 \text{ and } \gamma(1) = \varphi_1\},$$

is a critical value of \tilde{J}_s satisfying $c(s) > \max\{\tilde{J}_s(-\varphi_1), \tilde{J}_s(\varphi_1)\} = \lambda_1$. In particular, there exists a critical point of \tilde{J}_s that is different from $-\varphi_1$ and φ_1 .

(ii) *The point $(s + c(s), c(s))$ belongs to $\widehat{\Sigma}_p$.*

Proof. (i) By Proposition 2.3 we know that $-\varphi_1$ is a strict local minimizer of \tilde{J}_s with $\tilde{J}_s(-\varphi_1) = \lambda_1$, while Proposition 2.2 ensures that φ_1 is a global minimizer of \tilde{J}_s with $J_s(\varphi_1) = \lambda_1 - s$. Then we can show that

$$\inf\{\tilde{J}_s(u) : u \in S \text{ and } \|u - (-\varphi_1)\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} = \varepsilon\} > \max\{\tilde{J}_s(-\varphi_1), \tilde{J}_s(\varphi_1)\} = \lambda_1, \tag{2.5}$$

whenever $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small. The proof that the inequality above is strict can be done as in [15, Lemma 2.9] (see also [24, Lemma 2.6]) on the basis of Ekeland’s variational principle. In order to fulfill the Mountain-Pass geometry we choose $\varepsilon > 0$ even smaller if necessary to have $2\|\varphi_1\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} = \|\varphi_1 - (-\varphi_1)\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} > \varepsilon$. Since $\tilde{J}_s : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the Palais–Smale condition on the manifold S as shown in Lemma 2.5, we may invoke the version of Mountain-Pass theorem on manifolds in Theorem 2.6. This guarantees that $c(s)$ introduced in (2.4) is a critical value of \tilde{J}_s with $c(s) > \lambda_1$, providing a critical point different from $-\varphi_1$ and φ_1 .

(ii) Thanks to Lemma 2.1 and part (i), we infer that $(s + c(s), c(s)) \in \widehat{\Sigma}_p$. \square

3. The first nontrivial curve

The results in Section 2 permit us to determine the beginning of the spectrum $\widehat{\Sigma}_p$. We start by establishing that the lines $\{\lambda_1\} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R} \times \{\lambda_1\}$ are isolated in $\widehat{\Sigma}_p$. This is known from [15, Proposition 3.4] for Dirichlet problems and from [24, Proposition 3.1] for Steklov problems.

Proposition 3.1. *There exists no sequence $(a_n, b_n) \in \widehat{\Sigma}_p$ with $a_n > \lambda_1$ and $b_n > \lambda_1$ such that $(a_n, b_n) \rightarrow (a, b)$ with $a = \lambda_1$ or $b = \lambda_1$.*

Proof. Proceeding indirectly, assume that there exist sequences $(a_n, b_n) \in \widehat{\Sigma}_p$ and $(u_n) \subset W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with the properties: $a_n \rightarrow \lambda_1, b_n \rightarrow b, a_n > \lambda_1, b_n > \lambda_1, \|u_n\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u_n &= a_n (u_n^+)^{p-1} - b_n (u_n^-)^{p-1} && \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial \nu} &= -\beta |u_n|^{p-2} u_n && \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

If we test (3.1) with $v = u_n$ (see (1.2)), we get

$$\|\nabla u_n\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p = a_n \int_{\Omega} (u_n^+)^p dx + b_n \int_{\Omega} (u_n^-)^p dx - \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_n|^p d\sigma \leq a_n + b_n,$$

which proves the boundedness of (u_n) in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Hence, along a subsequence, $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^p(\Omega)$ and $L^p(\partial\Omega)$. Now, testing (3.1) with $\varphi = u_n - u$, we infer that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla (u_n - u) dx = 0.$$

The $(S)_+$ -property of $-\Delta_p$ on $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ yields that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Thus, u is a solution of the equation

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx = \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} (u^+)^{p-1} v dx - b \int_{\Omega} (u^-)^{p-1} v dx - \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^{p-2} u v d\sigma, \quad \forall v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega). \tag{3.2}$$

Inserting $v = u^+$ in (3.2) leads to

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^+|^p dx = \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} (u^+)^p dx - \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} (u^+)^p d\sigma.$$

This, in conjunction with the characterization of λ_1 in Section 1 and since $\|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = 1$, ensures that either $u^+ = 0$ or $u^+ = \varphi_1$. If $u^+ = 0$, then $u \leq 0$ and (3.2) implies that u is an eigenfunction. Recalling that λ_1 is the only eigenfunction that does not change sign, we deduce that $u = -\varphi_1$ (see [1] and also Proposition 4.1). Consequently, this renders that (u_n) converges either to φ_1 or to $-\varphi_1$ in $L^p(\Omega)$, which forces us to have

$$\text{either } |\{x \in \Omega : u_n(x) < 0\}| \rightarrow 0 \text{ or } |\{x \in \Omega : u_n > 0\}| \rightarrow 0, \tag{3.3}$$

respectively, where $|\cdot|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure. Indeed, assuming for instance $u_n \rightarrow \varphi_1$ in $L^p(\Omega)$, since for any compact subset $K \subset \Omega$ there holds

$$\int_{\{u_n < 0\} \cap K} |u_n - \varphi_1|^p dx \geq \int_{\{u_n < 0\} \cap K} \varphi_1^p dx \geq C |\{u_n < 0\} \cap K|,$$

with a constant $C > 0$, it is seen that the first assertion in (3.3) is fulfilled.

On the other hand, using $v = u_n^+$ as test function for (3.1) in conjunction with the Hölder inequality and the continuity of the embedding $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$, with $p < q \leq p^*$, we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n^+|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} (u_n^+)^p dx &= a_n \int_{\Omega} (u_n^+)^p dx - \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} (u_n^+)^p d\sigma + \int_{\Omega} (u_n^+)^p dx \\ &\leq (a_n + 1) \int_{\Omega} (u_n^+)^p dx \\ &\leq (a_n + 1) C |\{x \in \Omega : u_n(x) > 0\}|^{1-\frac{p}{q}} \|u_n^+\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p, \end{aligned}$$

with a constant $C > 0$. We infer that

$$|\{x \in \Omega : u_n(x) > 0\}|^{1-\frac{p}{q}} \geq (a_n + 1)^{-1} C^{-1}$$

and in the same way,

$$|\{x \in \Omega : u_n(x) < 0\}|^{1-\frac{p}{q}} \geq (b_n + 1)^{-1} C^{-1}.$$

Since $(a_n, b_n) \in \widehat{\Sigma}_p$ does not belong to the trivial lines of $\widehat{\Sigma}_p$, we have that u_n changes sign. Hence, through the inequalities above, we reach a contradiction with (3.3), which completes the proof. \square

The following auxiliary fact is helpful to link with the results established in Section 2.

Lemma 3.2. *For every $r > \inf_S J_s = \lambda_1 - s$, each connected component of $\{u \in S : J_s(u) < r\}$ contains a critical point, in fact a local minimizer of \widetilde{J}_s .*

Proof. Let C be a connected component of $\{u \in S : J_s(u) < r\}$ and denote $d \equiv \inf\{J_s(u) : u \in \overline{C}\}$. We claim that there exists $u_0 \in \overline{C}$ such that $J_s(u_0) = d$. To this end, let $(u_n) \subset \overline{C}$ be a sequence such that $J_s(u_n) \leq d + \frac{1}{n^2}$. Applying Ekeland’s variational principle to \widetilde{J}_s on \overline{C} provides a sequence $(v_n) \subset \overline{C}$ such that

$$\widetilde{J}_s(v_n) \leq \widetilde{J}_s(u_n), \tag{3.4}$$

$$\|u_n - v_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{n}, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\tilde{J}_s(v_n) \leq \tilde{J}_s(v) + \frac{1}{n} \|v - v_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}, \quad \forall v \in \bar{C}. \tag{3.6}$$

If n is sufficiently large, by (3.4) we obtain

$$\tilde{J}_s(v_n) \leq \tilde{J}_s(u_n) \leq d + \frac{1}{n^2} < r.$$

Moreover, owing to (3.6), it can be shown that (v_n) is a Palais–Smale sequence for \tilde{J}_s . Then Lemma 2.5 and (3.5) ensure that, up to a relabeled subsequence, $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $u_0 \in \bar{C}$ and $\tilde{J}_s(v) = d$.

We note that $u_0 \notin \partial C$ because otherwise the maximality of C as a connected component would be contradicted, so u_0 is a local minimizer of \tilde{J}_s and we are done. \square

Recall from Proposition 2.7 that it was constructed a curve $(s + c(s), c(s)) \in \widehat{\Sigma}_p$ for $s \geq 0$. As $\widehat{\Sigma}_p$ is symmetric with respect to the diagonal, we can complete it with its symmetric part obtaining the following curve in $\widehat{\Sigma}_p$:

$$\mathcal{C} := \{(s + c(s), c(s)), (c(s), s + c(s)) : s \geq 0\}. \tag{3.7}$$

The next result points out that \mathcal{C} is the first nontrivial curve in $\widehat{\Sigma}_p$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $s \geq 0$. Then $(s + c(s), c(s)) \in \mathcal{C}$ is the first point in the intersection between $\widehat{\Sigma}_p$ and the ray $(s, 0) + t(1, 1)$, $t > \lambda_1$.*

Proof. Assume, by contradiction, the existence of a point $(s + \mu, \mu) \in \widehat{\Sigma}_p$ with $\lambda_1 < \mu < c(s)$. Proposition 3.1 and the fact that $\widehat{\Sigma}_p$ is closed enable us to suppose that μ is the minimum number with the required property. By virtue of Lemma 2.1, μ is a critical value of the functional \tilde{J}_s and there is no critical value of \tilde{J}_s in the interval (λ_1, μ) . We complete the proof by reaching a contradiction to the definition of $c(s)$ in (2.4). To this end, it suffices to construct a path in Γ along which there holds $\tilde{J}_s \leq \mu$.

Let $u \in S$ be a critical point of \tilde{J}_s with $\tilde{J}_s(u) = \mu$. Then u fulfills

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx = (s + \mu) \int_{\Omega} (u^+)^{p-1} v \, dx - \mu \int_{\Omega} (u^-)^{p-1} v \, dx - \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^{p-2} u v \, d\sigma, \quad \forall v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

Setting $v = u^+$ and $v = -u^-$ yields

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^+|^p \, dx = (s + \mu) \int_{\Omega} (u^+)^p \, dx - \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} (u^+)^p \, d\sigma \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^-|^p \, dx = \mu \int_{\Omega} (u^-)^p \, dx - \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} (u^-)^p \, d\sigma, \tag{3.9}$$

respectively. Since u changes sign (see Proposition 4.1), the following paths are well defined on S :

$$u_1(t) = \frac{(1-t)u + tu^+}{\|(1-t)u + tu^+\|_{L^p(\Omega)}}, \quad u_2(t) = \frac{(1-t)u^+ + tu^-}{\|(1-t)u^+ + tu^-\|_{L^p(\Omega)}}, \quad u_3(t) = \frac{-tu^- + (1-t)u}{\|-tu^- + (1-t)u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}},$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$. By means of direct calculations based on (3.8) and (3.9) we infer that

$$\tilde{J}_s(u_1(t)) = \tilde{J}_s(u_3(t)) = \mu, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, 1]$$

and

$$\tilde{J}_s(u_2(t)) = \mu - \frac{st^p \|u^-\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p}{\|(1-t)u^+ + tu^-\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p} \leq \mu, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, 1].$$

Due to the minimality property of μ , the only critical points of \tilde{J}_s in the set $\{w \in S : \tilde{J}_s(w) < \mu - s\}$ are φ_1 and possibly $-\varphi_1$ provided $\mu - s > \lambda_1$. We note that, because $u^- / \|u^-\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$ does not change sign and vanishes on a set of positive measure, it is not a critical point of \tilde{J}_s . Therefore, there exists a C^1 path $\alpha : [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \rightarrow S$ with $\alpha(0) = u^- / \|u^-\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$ and $d/dt \tilde{J}_s(\alpha(t))|_{t=0} \neq 0$. Using this path and observing from (3.9) that $\tilde{J}_s(u^- / \|u^-\|_{L^p(\Omega)}) = \mu - s$, we can move from $u^- / \|u^-\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$ to a point v with $\tilde{J}_s(v) < \mu - s$. Applying Lemma 3.2 (see also [15, Lemma 3.5]), we find that the connected component of $\{w \in S : \tilde{J}_s(w) < \mu - s\}$ containing v crosses $\{\varphi_1, -\varphi_1\}$. Let us say that it passes through φ_1 , otherwise the reasoning is the same employing $-\varphi_1$. Consequently, there is a path $u_4(t)$ from $u^- / \|u^-\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$ to φ_1 within the set $\{w \in S : \tilde{J}_s(w) \leq \mu - s\}$. Then the path $-u_4(t)$ joins $-u^- / \|u^-\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$ and $-\varphi_1$ and, since $u_4(t) \in S$, we have

$$\tilde{J}_s(-u_4(t)) \leq \tilde{J}_s(u_4(t)) + s \leq \mu - s + s = \mu \quad \text{for all } t.$$

Connecting $u_1(t)$, $u_2(t)$ and $u_4(t)$, we construct a path joining u and φ_1 , and joining $u_3(t)$ and $-u_4(t)$ we get a path which connects u and $-\varphi_1$. These yield a path $\gamma(t)$ on S joining φ_1 and $-\varphi_1$. Furthermore, in view of the discussion above, it turns out that $\tilde{J}_s(\gamma(t)) \leq \mu$ for all t . This proves the theorem. \square

Corollary 3.4. *The second eigenvalue λ_2 of (1.3) has the variational characterization given in (1.4).*

Proof. Theorem 3.3 for $s = 0$ ensures that $c(0) = \lambda_2$. The conclusion now follows by applying Proposition 2.7 (i) with $s = 0$. \square

4. Properties of the first curve

The following proposition establishes an important sign property related to the curve \mathcal{C} in (3.7).

Proposition 4.1. *Let $(a_0, b_0) \in \mathcal{C}$ and $a, b \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfy $\lambda_1 \leq a(x) \leq a_0, \lambda_1 \leq b(x) \leq b_0$ for a.a. $x \in \Omega$ such that $\lambda_1 < a(x)$ and $\lambda_1 < b(x)$ on subsets of positive measure. Then any nontrivial solution u of*

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u &= a(x)(u^+)^{p-1} - b(x)(u^-)^{p-1} && \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} &= -\beta |u|^{p-2} u && \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

changes sign in Ω .

Proof. Let u be a nontrivial solution of Eq. (4.1). Then, $-u$ is a nontrivial solution of

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p z &= b(x)(z^+)^{p-1} - a(x)(z^-)^{p-1} && \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla z|^{p-2} \frac{\partial z}{\partial \nu} &= -\beta |z|^{p-2} z && \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

hence, we can suppose that the point $(a_0, b_0) \in \mathcal{C}$ is such that $a_0 \geq b_0$.

We argue by contradiction and assume that u does not change sign in Ω . Without loss of generality, we may admit that $u \geq 0$ a.e. in Ω , so u is a solution of the Robin weighted eigenvalue problem with weight $a(x)$:

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u &= a(x)u^{p-1} && \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} &= -\beta u^{p-1} && \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

It means that u is an eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue 1 for this weighted problem. Recall that the first eigenvalue $\lambda_1(a)$ of the above weighted problem is expressed as

$$\lambda_1(a) = \inf_{\substack{v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \\ v \neq 0}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p dx + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |v|^p d\sigma}{\int_{\Omega} a(x)|v|^p dx}.$$

The fact that $u \geq 0$ entails $\lambda_1(a) = 1$ because the only eigenvalue whose eigenfunction does not change sign is $\lambda_1(a)$ (see [1]). Then the hypothesis that $\lambda_1 < a(x)$ on a set of positive measure leads to the contradiction

$$1 = \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi_1|^p dx + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |\varphi_1|^p d\sigma}{\lambda_1} > \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi_1|^p dx + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |\varphi_1|^p d\sigma}{\int_{\Omega} a(x)\varphi_1^p dx} \geq \lambda_1(a) = 1,$$

which completes the proof. \square

Proposition 4.2. *The curve $s \mapsto (s + c(s), c(s))$ is Lipschitz continuous and decreasing.*

Proof. If $s_1 < s_2$, then it follows that $\tilde{J}_{s_1}(u) \geq \tilde{J}_{s_2}(u)$ for all $u \in S$, which ensures that $c(s_1) \geq c(s_2)$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that

$$\max_{u \in \gamma[-1,1]} \tilde{J}_{s_2}(u) \leq c(s_2) + \varepsilon,$$

hence

$$0 \leq c(s_1) - c(s_2) \leq \max_{u \in \gamma[-1,1]} \tilde{J}_{s_1}(u) - \max_{u \in \gamma[-1,1]} \tilde{J}_{s_2}(u) + \varepsilon.$$

Taking $u_0 \in \gamma[-1, 1]$ such that

$$\max_{u \in \gamma[-1,1]} \tilde{J}_{s_1}(u) = \tilde{J}_{s_1}(u_0)$$

yields

$$0 \leq c(s_1) - c(s_2) \leq \tilde{J}_{s_1}(u_0) - \tilde{J}_{s_2}(u_0) + \varepsilon = s_1 - s_2 + \varepsilon.$$

As $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, this ensures that $s \mapsto (s + c(s), c(s))$ is Lipschitz continuous.

In order to prove that the curve is decreasing, it suffices to argue for $s > 0$. Let $0 < s_1 < s_2$. Then, since $(s_1 + c(s_1), c(s_1)), (s_2 + c(s_2), c(s_2)) \in \widehat{\Sigma}_p$, Theorem 3.3 implies that $s_1 + c(s_1) < s_2 + c(s_2)$. On the other hand, as already remarked, there holds $c(s_1) \geq c(s_2)$, which completes the proof. \square

Next we investigate the asymptotic behavior of the curve \mathcal{C} .

Theorem 4.3. *Let $p \leq N$. Then the limit of $c(s)$ as $s \rightarrow +\infty$ is λ_1 .*

Proof. Let us proceed by contradiction and suppose that $c(s)$ does not converge to λ_1 as $s \rightarrow +\infty$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\max_{u \in \gamma[-1,1]} \tilde{J}_s(u) \geq \lambda_1 + \delta \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \Gamma \text{ and all } s \geq 0.$$

Since $p \leq N$, we can choose a function $\psi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ which is unbounded from above. Then we define $\gamma \in \Gamma$ by

$$\gamma(t) = \frac{t\varphi_1 + (1 - |t|)\psi}{\|t\varphi_1 + (1 - |t|)\psi\|_{L^p(\Omega)}}, \quad t \in [-1, 1].$$

For every $s > 0$, let $t_s \in [-1, 1]$ satisfy

$$\max_{t \in [-1,1]} \tilde{J}_s(\gamma(t)) = \tilde{J}_s(\gamma(t_s)).$$

Denoting $v_s = t_s\varphi_1 + (1 - |t_s|)\psi$, we infer that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_s|^p dx + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |v_s|^p d\sigma - s \int_{\Omega} (v_s^+)^p dx \geq (\lambda_1 + \delta) \int_{\Omega} |v_s|^p dx. \tag{4.2}$$

Letting $s \rightarrow +\infty$, we can assume along a subsequence that $t_s \rightarrow \tilde{t} \in [-1, 1]$. The family v_s being bounded in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, from (4.2) one sees that

$$\int_{\Omega} (v_s^+)^p dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow +\infty,$$

which forces

$$\tilde{t}\varphi_1 + (1 - |\tilde{t}|)\psi \leq 0.$$

Due to the choice of ψ , this is impossible unless $\tilde{t} = -1$. Passing to the limit in (4.2) as $s \rightarrow +\infty$ and using $\tilde{t} = -1$, we arrive at the contradiction $\delta \leq 0$, so the proof is complete. \square

It remains to study the asymptotic properties of the curve \mathcal{C} when $p > N$. For $\beta = 0$, problem (1.1) becomes a Neumann problem with homogeneous boundary condition that was studied in [22]. Therein, it is shown that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} c(s) = \begin{cases} \lambda_1 = 0 & \text{if } p \leq N \\ \tilde{\lambda} & \text{if } p > N, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\tilde{\lambda} = \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx : u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega), \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = 1 \text{ and } u \text{ vanishes somewhere in } \overline{\Omega} \right\}.$$

Therefore, we only have to treat the case $\beta > 0$. In this respect, the key idea is to work with an adequate equivalent norm on the space $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. So, for $\beta > 0$ we introduce the norm

$$\|u\|_{\beta} = \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \beta \|u\|_{L^p(\partial\Omega)}, \tag{4.3}$$

which is an equivalent norm on $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ (see also [28, Theorem 2.1]). Then we have the following.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\beta > 0$ and $p > N$. Then the limit of $c(s)$ as $s \rightarrow +\infty$ is*

$$\bar{\lambda} = \inf_{u \in L} \max_{r \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(r\varphi_1 + u)|^p dx + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |r\varphi_1 + u|^p d\sigma}{\int_{\Omega} |r\varphi_1 + u|^p dx},$$

where

$$L = \{u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) : u \text{ vanishes somewhere in } \overline{\Omega}, u \neq 0\}.$$

Moreover, there holds $\bar{\lambda} > \lambda_1$.

Proof. First, we are going to prove the strict inequality $\bar{\lambda} > \lambda_1$. Since for every $w \in L$ one has

$$\frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^p dx + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |w|^p d\sigma}{\int_{\Omega} |w|^p dx} \leq \max_{r \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(r\varphi_1 + w)|^p dx + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |r\varphi_1 + w|^p d\sigma}{\int_{\Omega} |r\varphi_1 + w|^p dx},$$

we conclude that

$$\lambda_1 \leq \inf_{w \in L} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^p dx + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |w|^p d\sigma}{\int_{\Omega} |w|^p dx} \leq \bar{\lambda}. \tag{4.4}$$

Let us check that the first inequality in (4.4) is strict. On the contrary, we would find a sequence $(w_n) \subset L$ satisfying

$$\frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_n|^p dx + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |w_n|^p d\sigma}{\int_{\Omega} |w_n|^p dx} \rightarrow \lambda_1 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Set $v_n = \frac{w_n}{\|w_n\|_{\beta}}$, where $\|\cdot\|_{\beta}$ denotes the equivalent norm on $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ introduced in (4.3). We note that $\|v_n\|_{\beta} = 1$ and

$$\frac{1}{\int_{\Omega} |v_n|^p dx} \rightarrow \lambda_1 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Due to the compact embedding $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C(\bar{\Omega})$, there is a subsequence of (v_n) , still denoted by (v_n) , such that $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $v_n \rightarrow v$ uniformly on $\bar{\Omega}$. It follows that $v \in L$ and

$$\frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p dx + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |v|^p d\sigma}{\int_{\Omega} |v|^p dx} \leq \lambda_1 = \frac{1}{\int_{\Omega} |v|^p dx},$$

which ensures that v is an eigenfunction in (1.3) corresponding to the first eigenvalue λ_1 . This is a contradiction because every eigenfunction associated to λ_1 is strictly positive or negative on $\bar{\Omega}$, whereas $v \in L$. Hence, recalling (4.4), we get $\bar{\lambda} > \lambda_1$.

Now we prove the first part in the theorem. We start by claiming that there exist $u \in L$ such that

$$\max_{r \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(r\varphi_1 + u)|^p dx + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |r\varphi_1 + u|^p d\sigma}{\int_{\Omega} |r\varphi_1 + u|^p dx} = \bar{\lambda}. \tag{4.5}$$

By the definition of $\bar{\lambda}$, we can find sequences $(u_n) \subset L$ and $(r_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{r \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(r\varphi_1 + u_n)|^p dx + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |r\varphi_1 + u_n|^p d\sigma}{\int_{\Omega} |r\varphi_1 + u_n|^p dx} \\ &= \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(r_n\varphi_1 + u_n)|^p dx + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |r_n\varphi_1 + u_n|^p d\sigma}{\int_{\Omega} |r_n\varphi_1 + u_n|^p dx} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} = 1$. The sequence (r_n) has to be bounded because otherwise there would exist a relabeled subsequence $r_n \rightarrow +\infty$, which results in

$$\frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla r_n\varphi_1 + u_n|^p dx + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |r_n\varphi_1 + u_n|^p d\sigma}{\int_{\Omega} |r_n\varphi_1 + u_n|^p dx} \rightarrow \lambda_1.$$

This implies that $\lambda_1 = \bar{\lambda}$, contradicting the inequality $\bar{\lambda} > \lambda_1$. Therefore, we may suppose that $r_n \rightarrow \tilde{r} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ as well as $u_n \rightarrow u$ uniformly in $\bar{\Omega}$, with some $u \in L$. Then, through (4.6) and the definition of $\bar{\lambda}$, we see that (4.5) holds true.

To prove that $c(s) \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}$ as $s \rightarrow +\infty$, we argue by contradiction admitting that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\max_{t \in [-1,1]} \tilde{J}_s(\gamma(t)) \geq \bar{\lambda} + \delta \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \Gamma \text{ and all } s \geq 0.$$

Here the decreasing monotonicity of $c(s)$ has been used (see Proposition 4.2). Consider the path $\gamma \in \Gamma$ defined by

$$\gamma(t) = \frac{t\varphi_1 + (1 - |t|)u}{\|t\varphi_1 + (1 - |t|)u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}}, \quad t \in [-1, 1],$$

with u given in (4.5). Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 4.3, for every $s > 0$ we fix $t_s \in [-1, 1]$ to satisfy

$$\max_{t \in [-1,1]} \tilde{J}_s(\gamma(t)) = \tilde{J}_s(\gamma(t_s))$$

and denote $v_s = t_s \varphi_1 + (1 - |t_s|)u$. We have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_s|^p dx + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |v_s|^p d\sigma - s \int_{\Omega} (v_s^+)^p dx \geq (\bar{\lambda} + \delta) \int_{\Omega} |v_s|^p dx. \quad (4.7)$$

From (4.7) and since v_s is uniformly bounded, we obtain $\int_{\Omega} (v_s^+)^p dx \rightarrow 0$ and $t_s \rightarrow \tilde{t} \in [-1, 1]$ as $s \rightarrow +\infty$, which yields $\tilde{t}\varphi_1 \leq -(1 - |\tilde{t}|)u$. As $\varphi_1 > 0$ and u vanishes somewhere in $\bar{\Omega}$, we deduce that $\tilde{t} \leq 0$. In addition, passing to the limit in (4.7) leads to

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(\tilde{t}\varphi_1 + (1 - |\tilde{t}|)u)|^p dx + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |\tilde{t}\varphi_1 + (1 - |\tilde{t}|)u|^p d\sigma \geq (\bar{\lambda} + \delta) \int_{\Omega} |\tilde{t}\varphi_1 + (1 - |\tilde{t}|)u|^p dx. \quad (4.8)$$

If $\tilde{t} \neq -1$, (4.8) can be expressed as

$$\frac{\int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla \left(\frac{\tilde{t}}{1+\tilde{t}}\varphi_1 + u \right) \right|^p dx + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} \left| \frac{\tilde{t}}{1+\tilde{t}}\varphi_1 + u \right|^p d\sigma}{\int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\tilde{t}}{1+\tilde{t}}\varphi_1 + u \right|^p dx} \geq \bar{\lambda} + \delta.$$

Comparing with (4.5) reveals that a contradiction is reached. If $\tilde{t} = -1$, in view of (4.8) and $\bar{\lambda} > \lambda_1$, we also arrive at a contradiction, which establishes the result. \square

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the referees for their helpful comments.

References

- [1] A. Lê, Eigenvalue problems for the p -Laplacian, *Nonlinear Anal.* 64 (5) (2006) 1057–1099.
- [2] S. Fučík, Solvability of Nonlinear Equations and Boundary Value Problems, in: *Mathematics and its Applications*, vol. 4, D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, 1980.
- [3] M. Arias, J. Campos, Radial Fučík spectrum of the Laplace operator, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 190 (3) (1995) 654–666.
- [4] N.P. Cáć, On nontrivial solutions of a Dirichlet problem whose jumping nonlinearity crosses a multiple eigenvalue, *J. Differential Equations* 80 (2) (1989) 379–404.
- [5] E.N. Dancer, Generic domain dependence for nonsmooth equations and the open set problem for jumping nonlinearities, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* 1 (1) (1993) 139–150.
- [6] C.A. Margulies, W. Margulies, An example of the Fučík spectrum, *Nonlinear Anal.* 29 (12) (1997) 1373–1378.
- [7] A. Marino, A.M. Micheletti, A. Pistoia, A nonsymmetric asymptotically linear elliptic problem, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* 4 (2) (1994) 289–339.
- [8] A.M. Micheletti, A remark on the resonance set for a semilinear elliptic equation, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 124 (4) (1994) 803–809.
- [9] A.M. Micheletti, A. Pistoia, A note on the resonance set for a semilinear elliptic equation and an application to jumping nonlinearities, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* 6 (1) (1995) 67–80.
- [10] A. Pistoia, A generic property of the resonance set of an elliptic operator with respect to the domain, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 127 (6) (1997) 1301–1310.
- [11] M. Schechter, The Fučík spectrum, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 43 (4) (1994) 1139–1157.
- [12] E.N. Dancer, On the Dirichlet problem for weakly non-linear elliptic partial differential equations, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 76 (4) (1976–1977) 283–300.
- [13] D.G. de Figueiredo, J.-P. Gossez, On the first curve of the Fučík spectrum of an elliptic operator, *Differential Integral Equations* 7 (5–6) (1994) 1285–1302.
- [14] P. Drábek, Solvability and Bifurcations of Nonlinear Equations, in: *Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series*, vol. 264, Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, 1992.
- [15] M. Cuesta, D. de Figueiredo, J.-P. Gossez, The beginning of the Fučík spectrum for the p -Laplacian, *J. Differential Equations* 159 (1) (1999) 212–238.
- [16] S. Carl, K. Perera, Sign-changing and multiple solutions for the p -Laplacian, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 7 (12) (2002) 613–625.
- [17] A.M. Micheletti, A. Pistoia, On the Fučík spectrum for the p -Laplacian, *Differential Integral Equations* 14 (7) (2001) 867–882.
- [18] D. Motreanu, M. Tanaka, Sign-changing and constant-sign solutions for p -Laplacian problems with jumping nonlinearities, *J. Differential Equations* 249 (11) (2010) 3352–3376.
- [19] K. Perera, Resonance problems with respect to the Fučík spectrum of the p -Laplacian, *Electron. J. Differential Equations* (2002) pages No. 36, 10 pp. (electronic).
- [20] K. Perera, On the Fučík spectrum of the p -Laplacian, *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.* 11 (2) (2004) 259–270.
- [21] M. Alif, Fučík spectrum for the Neumann problem with indefinite weights, in: *Partial Differential Equations*, in: *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, vol. 229, Dekker, New York, 2002, pp. 45–62.
- [22] M. Arias, J. Campos, J.-P. Gossez, On the antimaximum principle and the Fučík spectrum for the Neumann p -Laplacian, *Differential Integral Equations* 13 (1–3) (2000) 217–226.
- [23] E. Massa, On a variational characterization of a part of the Fučík spectrum and a superlinear equation for the Neumann p -Laplacian in dimension one, *Adv. Differential Equations* 9 (5–6) (2004) 699–720.
- [24] S.R. Martínez, J.D. Rossi, On the Fučík spectrum and a resonance problem for the p -Laplacian with a nonlinear boundary condition, *Nonlinear Anal.* 59 (6) (2004) 813–848.
- [25] F.E. Browder, Nonlinear eigenvalues problems and group invariance, in: *Functional Analysis and Related Fields*, Proc. Conf. for M. Stone, Univ. of Chicago, Chicago, Ill., 1968, Springer, New York, 1970, pp. 1–58.
- [26] J. Nečas, Introduction to the Theory of Nonlinear Elliptic Equations, in: *Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik (Teubner Texts in Mathematics)*, vol. 52, BSB B.G. Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig, 1983, With German, French and Russian Summaries.
- [27] N. Ghoussoub, Duality and Perturbation Methods in Critical Point Theory, in: *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*, vol. 107, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [28] S.-G. Deng, Positive solutions for Robin problem involving the $p(x)$ -Laplacian, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 360 (2) (2009) 548–560.